EXHIBIT 6

In the Matter of:

Emmanuel Thiersaint vs

Department of Homeland Security, et al

Rachelle Steinberg February 04, 2021

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage court-reporting.com



1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS						
3	x						
4	EMMANUEL THIERSAINT,						
5	Plaintiff, Civil Action No.						
6	vs. 1:18-cv-12406-PBS						
7	DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY;						
8	U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT; WILLIAM CHAMBERS, in his individual capacity; JOHN DOE DEFENDANTS 1-10, unknown ICE Agents, in their individual capacities; SUFFOLK COUNTY						
9							
10							
11	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; JOHN DOE DEFENDANTS 11-16, unknown						
12	officers of the Suffolk County Sheriff's Department, in their individual capacities; and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,						
13							
14	Defendants.						
15	x						
16							
17	DEPOSITION OF RACHELLE STEINBERG						
18	Conducted Remotely						
19	200 Nashua Street						
20	Boston, Massachusetts						
21	Thursday, February 4, 2021, 9:01 a.m.						
22							
23							
24	Reporter: James A. Scally, RMR, CRR						

```
1
                APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS PC
     600 Atlantic Avenue
 4
     Boston, Massachusetts 02210
 5
 6
     617-720-3500
     By: Elizabeth A. DiMarco, Esq.
 7
         Counsel for the Plaintiff
 8
 9
10
11
     UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
12
     UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
13
     1 Courthouse Way
14
     Suite 9200
     Boston, Massachusetts 02210
15
16
     617-748-3100
17
     By: Eve A. Piemonte, Esq.
         Counsel for the Defendant United
18
         States of America, et al.
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	3	
1 2	APPEARANCES	
3	SUFFOLK COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT	
4	200 Nashua Street	
5		
	Boston, Massachusetts 02114	
6	617-704-6680	
7	By: Melissa J. Garand, Esq.	
8	Counsel for the Defendant Suffolk	
9	County Sheriff's Department	
LO		
L1		
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
_ ¬		

	1		3,				
				4			
1			INDEX				
2	WITNESS		EXAMINATION				
3	RACHELLE STEINBERG						
4	(By Ms. DiMarco) 7						
5							
6							
7							
8							
9	NO.		E X H I B I T S PAGE				
10	Exhibit	1	Group of documents Bates 20				
11	حادات الماد	2	stamped SCSD000011 through 22				
12	Exhibit	2	Defendant's response to 28 plaintiff's second set of interrogatories				
13	Exhibit	3	NaphCare documents Bates 38				
14			stamped SCSD000056 through 135				
15	Exhibit	4	Screen shots Bates stamped 56				
16			SCSD000136 through 142				
17	Exhibit	5	Unit logbook Bates stamped 84 SCSD000173 through 271				
18	Exhibit	6	Policy S424 Bates stamped 91				
19			SCSD004942 through 4947				
20	Exhibit	7	NaphCare policy Bates stamped 98 SCSD000315 through 316				
21			2222300313 Cili Gugii 310				
22			(Eyhihits were given to the court				
23	(Exhibits were given to the court reporter to attach to the transcript.)						
24							

Rachelle Steinberg February 04, 2021

THE COURT REPORTER: This is James
A. Scally. I am a Registered Merit
Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,
and I am a Notary Public in the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

This deposition is being taken remotely. This witness is appearing remotely from 200 Nashua Street, Boston, Massachusetts.

The attorneys participating in this proceeding acknowledge their understanding that I am not physically present in the proceeding room, nor am I physically present with the witness and that I will be reporting this proceeding remotely. They further acknowledge that, in lieu of an oath administered in person, the witness will verbally declare her testimony in this matter under the pains and penalties of perjury. The parties and their counsel consent to this arrangement and waive any objections to this manner of proceeding.

Rachelle Steinberg February 04, 2021

Please indicate your agreement by stating your name and your agreement on the record, after which I will swear in the witness and we may begin.

MS. DiMARCO: Elizabeth DiMarco from Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks on behalf of the plaintiff Emmanuel Thiersaint, and we agree to proceed under this arrangement.

MS. GARAND: Melissa Garand on behalf of the Suffolk County Sheriff's Department, and I agree to proceed under this arrangement.

MS. PIEMONTE: Eve Piemonte on behalf of the federal defendants. I agree.

Rachelle Steinberg February 04, 2021

RACHELLE STEINBERG, having been satisfactorily identified by the production of her driver's license and duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows in answer to direct interrogatories:

EXAMINATION

7

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

BY MS. DiMARCO:

- Good morning, Rachelle. 10 0.
 - Good morning. Α.
 - My name is Elizabeth DiMarco. You can call Q. I represent the plaintiff, Emmanuel me Libbie. Thiersaint, and I will be asking you questions today.
 - okay. Α.

MS. DiMARCO: Before we begin, I'm just going to state for the record some stipulations that the attorneys in this case have reached. All objections, except as to form, including motions to strike, are reserved until trial. Consistent with prior depositions we've taken in this matter, this witness will have the opportunity to read and sign

16

18

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

49

- Q. And it says "General Population"?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Does the department use this housing assignment information in the mental health screening to determine where the individual is housed?
- A. Medical will inform the department if someone needs to be medically or mental health housed.
 - Q. It wouldn't be using this --
 - A. It would be verbal.
- Q. Okay. What's the purpose of the "Housing Assignment" section?
 - A. This is for medical purposes.
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. This is the medical intake, mental health evaluation or intake. That process is done by a nurse. The nurse will do an initial assessment as to what they feel is appropriate for housing for that individual. However, it is reviewed by a provider, and the provider will ultimately make the decision of whether that person may go to general population or needs to be medically housed.
 - Q. Who's the provider?
- A. A mid-level, a nurse practitioner, or a physician's assistant, or a doctor.

50

1 So a NaphCare employee? 0. 2 Α. Correct. 3 Okay. So is your testimony, then, that Ο. NaphCare employees decide housing assignments for --4 For medical or mental health purposes only. 5 So then a NaphCare employee decides whether 6 0. 7 an individual needs to be housed for a medical or mental health reason? 8 9 Α. Correct. Libbie, we've been 10 MS. GARAND: going for about an hour and 15 minutes. 11 12 I'm going to ask the witness: Do you 13 need a break? 14 THE WITNESS: Could take a couple 15 minutes. 16 MS. GARAND: Okay. Do you mind 17 taking --18 MS. DiMARCO: Let me just have like five more minutes, then we can 19 20 take a 10- or 15-minute break. 21 MS. GARAND: Okay. That's fine. 22 BY MS. DiMARCO: Okay. So I think you said -- let me just 23 0. take a look. (Pause.) 24